UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/527,144	03/09/2005	Ralf Diekmann	SCH-15851	9517	
	10/527,144 03/09/2005 Ralf Diekmann SCH-15851  40854 7590 12/12/2007 RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK LLP 38210 Glenn Avenue LEUBECKE	INER			
RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK LLP 38210 Glenn Avenue WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7808	LEUBECKER, JOHN P				
WILLOUGHB	Y, OH 44094-7808		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3739		
	03/09/2005 7590 12/12/200 L, PORTER & CLARK venue				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\\
·	10/527,144	DIEKMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	John P. Leubecker	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			ss
Period for Reply	•	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON oute, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this comm  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	<u>March 2005</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examilation 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ıge
Attachment/e\			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/9/05 & 4/28/05.	Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3739

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yabe et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,868,644).
- 3. Referring mainly to Figures 14 and 15 (which show different views of the same device), Yabe et al. disclose a endoscope comprising an image transmitting system (23,31), a fiber optics bundle (50) mounted in the endoscope (Fig.14) and illuminating the field of view (through either of windows 71), a light source (6, Fig.5, col.3, lines 52-53), and a light tapping system comprising an optical fiber that branches off of the fiber optics bundle (50) (note bifurcation and separate branch shown in Fig.14) which taps light from the fiber optics bundle and guides it to a window (the other of windows 71) in an external wall of the endoscope. Note that light tapping window (71) is in the zone and configured to illuminate a functional element (forceps extending from the forceps outlet 26).
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacoby (U.S. Pat. 5,328,365).
- 5. Referring mainly to Figure 8, Jacoby discloses an endoscope comprising an image transmitting system (20c), a fiber optics bundle (18c) mounted in the endoscope (Fig.8) and

illuminating the field of view, a light source (26), and a light tapping system comprising an optical fiber that branches off of the fiber optics bundle (50) (note multiple ports 304 in Figure 9) which taps light from the fiber optics bundle and guides it to a window (aperture of optical port 304) in an external wall of the endoscope. The multiple ports (304) form a pattern (note line of ports 24 in Fig.7a). Considering fluid lumen (40) as a functional element, the windows are configure to illuminate a functional element (note close placement windows and lumen 40 in Fig.8).

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. US 6248060 B1 Buess; Gerhard Fritz et al.
- 9. US 5730702 A Tanaka; Toshizumi et al.
- 10. US 6997871 B2 Sonnenschein; Elazar et al.
- 11. US 6261226 B1 McKenna; Michael A. et al.
- 12. US 4076018 A Heckele; Helmut
- 13. US 3889662 A Mitsui; Kazuhiko

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4769. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John P. Leubecker/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

jpl